

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/527,194 | 03/17/2000 | G. Alton Waschka | HES-Y-336 | 3616 |
| DUANE MORRIS LLP 1667 K STREET, N.W. SUITE 700 WASHINGTON, DC 20006 | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | PHU, PHUONG M | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2631 | /2 |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 01/09/2004 | M. 1. 1. 1. |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|---|---|
| | 09/527,194 | WASCHKA ET AL. |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit |
| · | Phuong Phu | 2631 |
| The MAILING DATE of this communic Period for Reply | ation appears on the cover sheet | t with the correspondence address |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply within the set or extende | ATION. f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may nication. days, a reply within the statutory minimum of utory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) Nill, by statute, cause the application to become | y a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |
| | on 02 December 2002 | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed | | * |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.2b3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for |)⊠ This action is non-final. or allowance except for formal m | atters, prosecution as to the merits is |
| closed in accordance with the practice | | |
| Disposition of Claims | • | |
| 4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-5,12-16 and 19 is/are allow 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 6-11,17,18,20 is/are rejected 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction | withdrawn from consideration. wed. | |
| Application Papers | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to be | a) accepted or b) objected on to the drawing(s) be held in abey the correction is required if the drawing. | yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do 2. Certified copies of the priority do 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internationa * See the attached detailed Office action 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for since a specific reference was included 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign lang 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for reference was included in the first sente | ocuments have been received. ocuments have been received in the priority documents have be al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). for a list of the certified copies n domestic priority under 35 U.S. in the first sentence of the speci uage provisional application has domestic priority under 35 U.S. | n Application No en received in this National Stage not received. C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) fication or in an Application Data Sheet. s been received. C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific |
| Attachment(s) | _ | • |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) | D-948) 5) Notice of | w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |

Application/Control Number: 09/527,194

Art Unit: 2631

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office Action is responsive to the RCE filed on 12/2/03.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 8-11, 17, 18 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 8, 17 and 18 recite the limitation "the subsequent chirp" (line 5). This limitation is lack of antecedent basis.

Claim 20 recites the limitation "the chirp signal" on line 5. This limitation is lack of antecedent basis.

Claims (if any) depended on above claims are therefore also rejected.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 6, 7 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Degura et al (5,105,294), prior art of record.

As per claims 6 and 20, see figures 2B, 2C, 3B, 3C, 12 and 13, and col. 12, line 27 to col. 15, line 30 and col. 15, lines 60-68, Degura et al discloses a method for discriminating between 2

Application/Control Number: 09/527,194

Art Unit: 2631

types of transmitters, corresponding to transmitter paths (41, 42, 43) and (41, 42, 47) for receiving signals (1N-1) and (1N-n), respectively (see figure 12), characterized by chirp conversions (43) and (47), respectively, for transmitting chirp signals of opposite slopes (44) and (48), respectively, (inherently within a same frequency operating range of means (49)), wherein the method/system (see figure 13) comprises:

step (53) for receiving a chirp signal;

step (54, 58) for detecting the slope of the received signal wherein the detection includes chirp conversion means (54) and (58) having reverse slopes corresponding to the conversions (43) and (47), respectively; for instance, means (54) would output an output wave shape (see figure 3E) if means (54) detects a signal having slope (44), and so on; and

step (54, 58) after detecting the slope of received signal, inherently can recognize or determine from which transmitter the received signal has been transmitted so that step (54, 58) can further forward its output to a proper path corresponding to that transmitter's characteristic for data recovery (OUT-1, OUT-n), or in another word, the transmitter type is detected as a function of the detected chip slope.

As per claim 7, Degura et al discloses step (49, 50) for asynchronously transmitting chirp signals of opposite slopes outputted from means (43, 47) (see figure 12).

6. Claims 6 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Otto (5,859,613), newly-cited.

As per claims 6 and 20, see figures 1, 5 and 7, and col. 3, line 46 to col. 5, line 32 and col. 6, line 56 to col. 9, line 2, Otto discloses a method (see figure 5) comprising: step (52) for receiving a chirp signal;

Application/Control Number: 09/527,194

Art Unit: 2631

step (54) for detecting the slope of the received chirp signal; and step (56) for determining transmitter type as a function of the detected chirp slope.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. Claims 1-5, 12-16 and 19 are allowed.
- 8. Claims 8-11, 17 and 18 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments, filed on 12/2/03, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-18, as being anticipated by Degura et al, have been fully considered, but are, in part, persuasive. The rejection to claims 1-5 and 8-18, as being anticipated by Degura et al, has been withdrawn since the claims have been amended to overcome the rejection. However, regarding to claims 6 and 7, the applicant argues that (i) Degura et al does not disclose a multiple access system; (ii) Degura et al does not disclose a mobile station; and (iii) Degura et al does not disclose feature of encoding data in the chirp signals as the starting frequency of subsequent chirps. The examiner respectfully disagrees because claims 6 and 7 do not recite any limitations regarding (i)-(iii).

Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phuong Phu whose telephone number is 703-308-0158. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:30-6:00) First Monday Off.

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mohammad Ghayour can be reached on 703-306-3034. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9314.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-4700.

Phuong Phu Primary Examiner Art Unit 2631

Phung Phu Phuong Phu 12/15/03

PHOUNG PHU PRIMARY EXAMINER